



#### AVT-339 Research Workshop on Robotics and laser/plasma – paint interaction in paint removal

#### Lessons Learned from Two Decades of Laser Testing across the US Air Force

Casey Jones, Adapt Laser Systems, USA

29 – 30 April 2020







### Why the Interest in Lasers?

- Corrosion prevention and control (CPC) on aircraft is a nasty process!
- Many chemicals used in CPC are carcinogenic
  - Hexavalent chromium (Cr<sup>6+</sup>)
  - Cadmium
  - Isocyanates
  - Chemical strippers, such as methylene chloride
- These carcinogens mandate the use of personal protective equipment (PPE)
  - Full face respirators
  - Gloves
  - Tyvek suits
  - Tyvek boots
- These chemicals create large waste streams and mandate confinement of operations to certain facilities









#### How the Lasers Work

- Lasers remove coatings through ablation (essentially solid to gas vaporization or sublimation)
  - Chemicals such as strippers don't need to be captured
  - Sanding/blasting = airborne contaminants....major facility and PPE requirements!
- All contaminants are captured at the substrate...only laser safety glasses required!
- Lasers can be used outside of controlled facilities as long as all personnel are wearing the appropriate eye protection
  - This allows simultaneous maintenance operations to occur while corrosion maintenance is taking place



OTAN



#### How the Lasers Work

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ORGANIZATION

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION

#### Laser Ablation = Sublimation

- Convert Solid To Gas
  - With Laser Energy
- Nanosecond <u>Pulses</u> Of Laser Energy Create
  - High Energy
  - Very Little Thermal Effect
- Metal Can Reflect The Energy
  - With Correct Parameters
- 2 Processes of Cleaning
  - Sublimation
  - Induced Pressure









# Health and Safety Testing Performed

- Testing by the federal government performed for nearly 2 decades
- Air sampling by 3<sup>rd</sup> party lab (MACTEC) funded by ESTCP performed 8/2002, 10/2003, 9/2004, and 2/2005; additional testing by AF Institute for Operational Health 3/2005
  - Acid gases (nitric acid, sulfuric acid, etc.)
  - Hydrogen cyanide
  - Heavy metals (hexavalent chromium, chromates, lead, etc.)
  - Cyanides and diisocyanates
  - Nitric oxide
  - CO and CO<sub>2</sub>
  - O<sub>3</sub>
  - SO<sub>2</sub>
  - Organic coating VOCs
- Air sampling also performed by USAF School of Aerospace Medicine (USAFSAM)
  - April 2017 (Report available)

#### ALL SAMPLING WELL WITHIN OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMITS





# Health and Safety Testing Performed

- Noise sampling performed by MACTEC in 2002 2005
  - Below OSHA's TWA exposure limit of 90 dbA and action level of 85 dbA
  - Additional noise sampling performed in April 2017 by USAFSAM
  - Consult with local bioenvironmental to determine if hearing protection required based on other sources of noise in work area
- UV/IR exposure sampling performed by MACTEC
  - Prolonged use (up to 3 hours) could result in skin irritation
  - Never reported by USAF or commercial users
  - Easily eliminated by wearing long sleeves (or sunscreen) and gloves
- Flammability testing conducted by Science Applications International Corp. in 2004
  - Testing conducted on artificial cavity (representative of fuel tank) & contaminated surfaces

THE LASERS WERE NOT ABLE TO

PRODUCE A FLAME OR EXPLOSION

- MIL-L-23699 lubricating oil
- MIL-PRF-7808 lubricating oil
- MIL-PRF-83282 hydraulic fluid
- MIL-H-5606 hydraulic fluid
- Skydrol LD-4
- JP-8 Turbine Fuel

AVT-RWS-339







# **Health and Safety Testing Performed**

- Ergonomic assessment accomplished by AF Institute for Operational Health (Apr 2004)
  - Essentially the same as other tools in profession
  - Weight of end effector easily supported by resting fiber optic cable and vacuum hose on shoulder
  - Wheel mechanism developed to support weight of end effectors (~3 lbs for CL300 and ~7 lbs for CL1000)









# Demonstration on Ground Support Equipment



NATO Unclassified + EOP





### **Demonstration Overview**

- Two lasers per location for field tests on ground support equipment (GSE)
  - CleanLASER 300 W (CL300) and 1000 W (CL1000)
- Lasers installed for demonstration at







# **HHL Implementation for GSE**

- Standard operating procedures created
- AFLCMC worked with USAFSAM to create safety and bioenvironmental guide for field
- Air Force specific training video and procedures were created
- MIL-HDBK-529 published



Laser Safety Curtains, Signs, and Beacons



HHL Training In Progress





# **GSE Applications**

#### **Corrosion/Coatings Removal**



GSE Corrosion Examples from Patrick AFB, FL





#### Laser Stripped Equipment



**GSE De-Painted with HHL** 





# **Aircraft Specific Testing**

AVT-RSY-323

NATO Unclassified + EOP

Slide 13



AVT-RWS-339



### **Aircraft Process Controls for CL300**

- Electronic Margin Shielding (EMS)
  - Surpasses edges of scan path to prevent over processing surface
- Nozzle with Wheels
  - Maintains standoff distance to ensure laser is in focus
- Motion/Distance/Thermal Sensor
  - Ensures minimum sweep speed is maintained
  - Ensure laser is in focus











# Aircraft Material Testing – Coating Adhesion

- Adhesion testing post lasing
  - A rating over 4 is considered a pass

#### Adhesion Ratings – Modified X.

Substrate	Coating System	Rating (Avg. of 5 Panels)	Laser Used
2024 T3 Clad	MIL-PRF-23377 + MIL-PRF-85285	4.0	40 watt Nd:YAG
		4.2	120 watt Nd:YAG
		4.8	250 watt CO2
2024 T3 Bare	MIL-PRF-23377 + MIL-PRF-85285	4.8	250 watt CO <sub>2</sub>
		4.4	40 watt Nd:YAG
		<mark>4.6</mark>	120 watt Nd:YAG
2024 T3 Bare Chromic Acid Anodized	MIL-PRF-23377 + MIL-PRF-85285	5.0	40 watt Nd:YAG
		5.0	250 watt CO <sub>2</sub>
		5.0	120 watt Nd:YAG
4130 Steel	MIL-PRF-23377 + MIL-C-46168 CARC)	4.4	120 watt Nd:YAG
		5.0	250 watt CO2
		3.4	40 watt Nd:YAG





# **Aircraft Material Testing - Hardness**

- Extensive testing has been performed by the USAF over the past 2 decades
  - Hardness testing performed by CTIO/UDRI revealed no significant impact to substrate hardness
  - (Westmoreland Mechanical Testing and Research, Jan '17)







#### **Aircraft Material Testing - Hardness**



#### NO STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT CHANGE FROM UNPROCESSED BASELINE





### **Aircraft Material Testing - Tensile**



2024-T3 @ 0.016" in thickness

2024-T3 @ 0.032" in thickness

NO STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT CHANGE FROM UNPROCESSED BASELINE





### **Aircraft Material Testing - Tensile**



7075-T6 @ 0.040" in thickness

#### NO STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT CHANGE FROM UNPROCESSED BASELINE

AVT-RWS-339





#### **Future Aerospace Testing**

- Axial fatigue testing being accomplished by UDRI on 2024-T3
  @ 0.050" and 7075-T6 @ 0.080"
- 7075-T6 fatigue testing complete; analysis being conducted
- 2024-T3 fatigue testing will complete in Mar 2020
- Pending positive results, USAF will move to qualify CL300-AF on all 7075 (0.080" and thicker) and 2024 (0.050" and thicker) substrates USAF wide
- Pending positive results, insertion into TO 1-1-8, "Application and Removal of Organic Coatings, Aerospace and Nonaerospace Equipment" expected in 2020



#### **300W HHL Aerospace Qualification Testing Completed**

#### • Substrates:

NATO

- 7075-T6 substrate at 0.080" thickness
- 2024-T3 substrate at 0.050" thickness
- Control (un-painted and un-lased) and laser processed samples for each

#### • Tests Performed to date:

Backside temperature: didn't exceed 150 °F



300W HHL and HEPA Vacuum

- Rockwell Hardness 15TW: no significant difference between control and laser specimens
- Tensile tests: no significant difference between control and laser specimens
- Tensile-Tensile Fatigue on 7075-T6:
  - Weibull analysis shows no significant difference for R0.1, S = 37.5 ksi; additional analysis underway for S = 40 ksi and S = 73 ksi
  - Weibull analysis shows no significant difference for R0.5, S = 55 and S = 60 ksi ; additional analysis underway for S = 82 ksi
  - Kitagawa analysis pending additional test results (fatigue crack growth)





#### **Current Aerospace Usage**

- Coating stripping for electrical grounding points for UH-60s
- Used by Lockheed for stripping U-2 in Palmdale, CA during depot
- Used by Lockheed for improved nut plate bonding during F-35 build
- Used by USAF to demilitarize F-22 canopy transparencies
- Airbus using for coating stripping for grounding contacts
- Inbar stainless steel tooling cleaning for composite manufacture





ROP GRUMM



BDEII





# **US DoD Approvals**

- HHL as a coating/corrosion removal tool added to USAF TO 35-1-3 on 18 Mar 2019
  - "Corrosion Prevention and Control, Cleaning, Painting, and Marking of USAF Support Equipment (SE)"
- HHL as a coating/corrosion removal tool added to USAF TO 36-1-191 in March 2020
  - "Technical and Managerial Reference for Motor Vehicle Maintenance"
- HHL as a coating/corrosion removal tool added to USAF Metals Technology Office TO 34W4-1-5 in March 2020

- "Welding Theory and Application"





# **Questions**?





NATO Unclassified + PfP +